

---

**Simon Green - Submission on the draft copyright Code of Practice for S92A**

Hi,

I'd just like to comment on the Alternate Wording for section F of the draft code. S92A already implements measures that have been repeatedly struck down by governments across the world, especially in Europe. If the alternate wording of section F were to be adopted, it would effectively make the Rights Holders police, judge *and* jury. The wording specifically makes the Rights Holder the sole authority on whether a dispute is valid or not, unless the accused is able to afford the legal representation required to take the matter to court.

Clearly this is more than just a bridge too far. It's patently ridiculous.

The TCF has a reputation for being fair and non-discriminatory in all its other dealings. I strongly urge you not to ruin that by handing over everything the Rights Holders demand. I'm sure you realise who the Rights Holders are actually looking out for - and it's not the struggling musician or actor. It's the multi-billion dollar multinational corporations.

Cheers  
**Simon Green**